

**REPUBLIC OF KENYA**  
**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF KENYA**  
*(Coram: Ibrahim & Lenaola, SCJJ)*

**APPLICATION NO. 7 OF 2016**

**WILSON NGATIA KARUNGARU** *(Suing as the personal representative of the estate of Geoffrey Karungaru Kabua)*.....**APPLICANT**

—VERSUS—

**MBAU SAW MILLS**.....**1<sup>ST</sup> RESPONDENT**  
**HON. ATTORNEY GENERAL**.....**2<sup>ND</sup> RESPONDENT**

---

*(Being an application for extension of time to file an application for Review of the Ruling of the Court of Appeal denying leave to appeal to the Supreme Court in Civil Appl. Sup. No. 2 of 2015 (Waki, Nambuye & Kiage JJ.A), delivered on 2<sup>nd</sup> December, 2015)*

---

**RULING**

1. **UPON** perusing the Notice of Motion application dated, 19<sup>th</sup> April, 2016, and filed on 20<sup>th</sup> April, 2016, for extension of time to file an application for review and for certification that the proposed appeal raises questions of general public interest and importance and for grant of leave to file a Notice of Appeal out of time; and
  
2. **UPON** reading the applicant’s affidavit sworn by WILSON NGATIA on 19<sup>th</sup> April, 2016, and the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent replying affidavit sworn by ELIUD MATU WAMAE on 23<sup>rd</sup> June, 2016; and

3. **UPON** considering the applicant’s argument that the Court of Appeal Ruling was procured later than anticipated thus delaying the process of lodging the review application, and the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent contending that the delay has not been explained and is in any event inordinate.
  
4. **AND** having considered the application, by a unanimous decision of this Bench, we make the following Orders under Section 23(2)(b) of the Supreme Court Act, and Rule 21 and 23 of the Supreme Court Rules, 2012(as amended).

<b>ORDERS</b>	<b>REASONS</b>
<p>a. The Notice of Motion Application dated 19<sup>th</sup> April, 2016 is hereby dismissed with costs as costs must follow the event.</p>	<p>a. No compelling reason has been presented to the Court as a justification for the inordinate delay.</p> <p>b. The application falls short of the principles for extension of time laid down in the case of <b><i>Nicholas Kiptoo Arap Korir Salat v. The Independent Electoral and Boundaries Commission &amp; 7 Others</i></b>, Appl. No. 16 of 2014, as affirmed in <b><i>Aviation &amp; Allied Workers Union Kenya v. Kenya Airways Ltd &amp; 3 Others</i></b>, Appl. 50 of 2014 and <b><i>Hassan Nyanje Charo v. Khatib Mwashetani &amp; 3 Others</i></b> [2014] eKLR.</p>

**DATED and DELIVERED at NAIROBI this 24<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2017.**

.....

**M.K.IBRAHIM  
JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME  
COURT**

.....

**I. LENAOLA  
JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME  
COURT**

**I certify that this is a  
true copy of the original**

**REGISTRAR, SUPREME COURT**